

Andrew Thompson

From: Peter Cornfield [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 December 2011 15:48
To: Andrew Thompson
Subject: FW: Comment on RR/2011/2373/C

Peter Cornfield BA(Hons) PGDipTP MRTPI
Planning Officer (West Team)
Rother District Council
Bexhill on Sea
East Sussex
TN39 3JX
[REDACTED]

From: Jane Balshaw **On Behalf Of** Planning E-mail Address
Sent: 08 December 2011 15:40
To: Peter Cornfield
Subject: FW: Comment on RR/2011/2373/C

From: James Fraser [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 December 2011 21:26
To: Planning E-mail Address
Subject: Comment on RR/2011/2373/C

Planning application number RR/2011/2373/C, British Gypsum works, Mountfield

Dear Sir

I am sending you this comment by email since the on-line planning system appears to be closed to comments even though the due date for comments on this application is shown as 13 December 2011. The system also appears to show that a decision has already been taken on the 30 November 2011 despite the application only being posted on 22 November 2011, and the public meeting only taking place on 5 December 2011. A period of 8 days is clearly not sufficient to submit comments on a planning application of this importance.

My comments on the application are as follows. Although I do not object given the importance of the Mountfield gypsum works to local employment, conditions should be placed on the applicant to agree and implement a scheme to ensure protection of the amenity of local residents. This should address two issues raised by the application - the impact of dust and noise - so that no nuisance is caused to local residents. My property is one of the closest to the site and is in the path of the prevailing winds.

Scheme to address dust

Desulphogypsum (DSG) has a small particle size and is prone to blowing as it is transported and before it forms a crust in storage. Therefore the applicants should submit a detailed scheme for dust management to prevent dust being blown from site and causing a nuisance to nearby properties. For example this should specify the maximum height for storage of materials, the height of retaining

08/12/2011

walls to prevent dust blowing out, the use of surfactants to form a crust, how spillage of materials will be addressed, and how vehicles transporting the material will be loaded and covered.

Scheme to address noise

The application asks for permission to accept DSG deliveries up to 11pm at night from Monday to Saturday. This will cause unnecessary noise pollution for local residents who already suffer from significant noise pollution from the site. The applicant should be limited to accepting deliveries and transporting the DSG to the storage area in reasonable daytime hours. There should be no deliveries at the weekend so that residents can enjoy the amenity of their weekends without the noise from the site.

Yours faithfully

James Fraser
Banks Farm
Mountfield
TN32 5JY

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please email us.

Any views expressed are not necessarily the views of Rother District Council unless stated.

This email message has been checked for the presence of computer viruses and malware, however we accept no liability for any unknown virus contained in the message or any attachments.
